

# General e-Manufacturing Requirements and first Interface A Experiences

e-Manufacturing Workshop  
San Francisco  
July 14, 2006

Ralf Georgi

Automation Capabilities Management





- GEM 300

- Recipe Management - RaP

- Interface A

# GEM 300 – Status of 300 mm Equipment Automation



300 mm standards are **productive** since years

- **E37 HSMS** (Ethernet to all tools)
- **E84 AMHS** PI/O
- **E87 CMS** Carrier Management
- **E40 PJM** Process Job Management
- **E94 CJM** Control Job Management
- **E90 ST** Substrate Tracking (increasing usage)
- **E116 EPT** Equipment Performance Tracking

Basic Interoperability is defined

- **300 mm Operational Scenarios v 10.0**

Error Handling Scenarios are defined

- **MOES** guideline v 2.0 (Manufacturing Operation and Error Handling Scenarios)

Areas of standardization are extended

- **E127 IMM** Integrated Metrology
- **E109 RM** Reticle Management



## → E84 / E87 Interaction and Error Recovery

uniform behavior and AUTOMATED error recovery are necessary in a highly automated fab

→ MOES guideline update and E84/E87 extensions

## → Remote Chamber off

FDC is going to get from tool to chamber fine

-> host commands to not to use a chamber

- time based (from now on)

- job based (not for this material, recipe, route, ...)



# GEM 300 – Single Point of Control

- Equipments are getting more complex with
- R2R control
  - Integrated Metrology
  - W2W control
  - on-tool FDC
  - Group Controllers and
  
  - a second Interface (Interface A) for Data Collection

**BUT**

- **SECS** is the **Single Point of Control** for all
- Material Management
  - Job Management
  - Recipe and R2R parameters



# Recipe Management – RaP

## Current Recipes are:

- binary (very few formatted)
- consist of sequence/recipe/steps/sub-recipe
- not documented
- different from every supplier

**Very few support** for Recipe Management and Verification

## Current work around:

- Automation Capability Management (ACM)
  - needs to negotiate with every equipment vendor on format
- Equipment Automation needs to be customized for each different type of equipment

**A lot of effort** has to be spent!



# Recipe Management - RaP

## Why RaP can help us:

RaP enables a generic recipe management implementation.  
This would reduce the rollout time significantly.

RaP speeds up the ACM negotiation process,  
no more discussion required to obtain binary recipe format.

RaP improves process quality.

Parameter control could be implemented with reduced effort.

**This fits into our strategy and vision!**

## Experiences from our RaP pilot:

- Successfully implemented RaP wrapper  
with our Global Recipe Management Solution
- Field proved the RaP concept

**Conclusion: Rollout of RaP capable RMS at Qimonda in 2006**



# Interface A – First applicatory Experiences

**First Equipments with Interface A** are on the production floor

→ **Pilot Host / EDA-Client applications**

Experiences: **General Communication**

Services Implementation is correct (based on schema)

Slight deviations in the Schemas (standards versions) cause the communication not to work at all

→ **Schema version freeze & development of a mechanism to deal with different versions**

Experiences: **Tool Models – EqSD**

Tool Models are not always self descriptive as expected

→ **Guidance on how to model an Equipment is necessary**



# Interface A – First applicatory Experiences

## Experiences: **Data Collection**

High data rates from the tools are possible (up to 40Hz)

Refreshment rate is much lower than data rate

3 time stamps are requested for EDA traces:

- Acquisition time (at I/O device)
- Preparation time (when trace is created)
- Transmission time (when data are sent)

but all 3 times have been found equal

Time resolution is requested to be 1 ms

**→ Equipment HW & SW Architecture must support Interface A  
all the way down to the I/O level**



# Interface A – First applicatory Experiences

Experiences: **Operations and Maintainability**

Interface A was defined with focus to Equipment

There are potentials for increasing the maintainability of hundreds of tools in a productive fab (central URL, DCP, ACL)

as well as real-time performance potentials (offline verification of DCP against ESD)

**→ Interface A is the Equipment DC Interface of the future**

- Equipment HW + SW architecture must support Interface A capabilities
- Maintainability and Operations of Interface A ought to be improved to support larger numbers of tools per fab with Interface A



# What is Qimonda and Infineon requesting?

**E37**  
**HSMS**

**E5**  
**SECS**

**E30**  
**GEM**

**E39**  
**OSS**

**E87**  
**CM**

**E40**  
**PJM**

**E94**  
**CJM**

**E90**  
**STS**

**E84**  
**PIO**

**E54**  
**SAN**

**I300I Guidelines**  
**ISMT 300mm Scenarios**

**TOS II**  
**2000**

**E109**  
**Reticle**  
**Mgmt.**

**E127**  
**Integrated**  
**Metrology**

**Linked**  
**Litho**  
**(#3682)**

**E116**  
**Equipment**  
**Performance**

**E126**  
**EQIP**

**HVM Guideline (MOES)**  
**E-Diagnostics Guideline**

**TOS III 1.0**  
**2004**

**E121 XML Style Guide**  
**E128 XML Message Structure**  
**E138 XML Common Components**

**E132 Authentication**  
**E120 Common Equipment Model**  
**E125 Equipment Self Description**  
**E134 Data Collection**

**EDA Port (Interface A)**  
**E-Diagnostics (Interface C)**

**E133 PCS (Interface B)**

**E139 Recipe and Parameter**

**EEC Guide**

**TOS III 2.0**  
**September 2006**

**Thank you**

**The World's Leading  
Creative Memory Company**

